

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usrpto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/078,024 02/15/2002 1182-12 (a) James Anthony Lodge 5970 05/06/2003 7590 THOMAS M. GALGANO, ESQ. **EXAMINER** GALGANO & BURKE JOLLEY, KIRSTEN Suite 135 300 Rabro Drive PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT Hauppauge, NY 11788

DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/078,024	LODGE, JAMES ANTHONY
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	Kirsten Crockford Jolley	1762
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) dayill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed bys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-6,8-21 and 24</u> is/are pending in the	ne application.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	vn from consideration.	·
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) 1,4-6,8-21 and 24 is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	election requirement.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	•	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accep	<u> </u>	aminor
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep		ovod by the Examinon
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa		•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. & 1190	a)-(d) or (f)
a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:	priority under do d.d.d. 3 7 rot	a) (a) o. (.).
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received	•
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		tion No. 09/194 714
		•
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the prior and the prior are set to be a set	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional application).
a) The translation of the foreign language pro		•
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic		
Attachment(s)		•
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 	· =	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/078,024

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-21, and 24 are pending in the application.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

3. Claims 1, 4-6, 8-21, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claim 1, line 3, the phrase "thermochromic water-based ink" appears to be new matter. While the specification discloses the use of thermochromic inks, it does not appear to disclose the use of *water-based* thermochromic inks. If Applicant can locate disclosure of this limitation in the specification, then he should state the location on the record and the rejection will be withdrawn.

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,024

Art Unit: 1762

5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is vague and indefinite because it depends from a canceled claim -- claim 7. For the purpose of examination, claim 8 has been interpreted as being dependent upon claims 5 or 6, the claims on which claim 7 was dependent.

Allowable Subject Matter

6. The preliminary amendment and the 37 CFR 1.132 Declarations of Alan Jones, Shinel Bhagi, and Charles Boyce filed April 18, 2003 have been considered. The Examiner notes that the arguments supplied in the preliminary amendment, in the Declarations, and in the personal interview of January 13, 2003 are convincing that there is a difference between thermochromic inks and thermochromic paints, and that the prior art does not teach or fairly suggest a method of spraying a water-based thermochromic ink in a first coating material directly onto a tableware article, in combination with a step of applying a second transparent, dishwasher-proof coating material over the first coating.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The prior art references considered in parent application 09/194,714 and submitted as attachments to the preliminary amendment filed on April 18, 2003 have been cited on the attached PTO-892.

Application/Control Number: 10/078,024

Art Unit: 1762

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kirsten Crockford Jolley whose telephone number is 703-306-5461. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Thursday and every other Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1193.

kcj May 2, 2003

> SHRIVE P. BECK SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700